# National D&D Committee Meeting

# DDFA User's Steering Committee Report

Miami, Florida
April 18, 2001

# Agenda

- Charter and Membership of the DDFA USC

- Roles & responsibilities of DDFA USC

- DDFA Communications Plan Model

- LSDDP selections FY2001

- Thoughts, views, opinions of re-engineering the DDFA business model

# Charter

"The primary goal of the all Focus Area User Steering Committees is to ensure adequate interaction and linkage of Office of Science and Technology (EM-50) programs with user community technology needs, priorities and programs."

# Charter (cont.)

- Membership: management -level representatives within user community and one HQ representative.

- Management and Organization: a chairman is selected by majority vote and is responsible for scheduling, facilitating, coordinating committee review and approval and informing members of important milestones.

# Committee Activities

- Participate in periodic committee conference calls/meetings
- Inform the D&D user community of activities through the National D&D Committee bi-annual meetings
- Decision making on key DDFA issues, planning documents, policy and budgetary matters

# Organization of the DDFA USC

- Mary McCune USC Chairperson

- Jim Goodenough, DOE-RL, Co-chair

- Members:
    - Angelia Adams, Savannah River
    - Janet Appenzeller-Wing, Nevada
    - Ward Best, Ohio
    - John Michael Japp, Oak Ridge
    - Susan Heston, Chicago
    - Roger Liddle, Oakland
    - Rich Nevarez, Albuquerque

# Roles & Responsibilities of USC

- **Provide consensus on review of DDFA budgets and work packages (consensus defined as loose agreement)**(*April 25, 2001*)
- **PEG Reviews** (*July 15, 2001*)
- **Technical Advisor on Industry/University program PRDA** (*April-June 2001*)
- **Consensus on review of Multi-Year Program Plan** (*February 15, 2001, via conference call*)
- **Participate as panel member during DDFA mid-year and year-end reviews** (*April 17-18, 2001*)
- **Be kept informed on project peer reviews and decisions** (*conference call held on 11/29/00 to discuss schedule of milestones and how we will participate*)
- **Provide consensus (approval?) of new LSDDP's** (*January 12, 2001, via conference call*)
- **Serve as technical advisor on competitive procurements**
- **Review communications products, plans and strategy** (*December 2000*)
- **Serve as a proponent of DDFA audits and peer reviews** (*up to 4 will be scheduled in 2001, example: ASME review of asbestos stripping robot*)
- **Assist in deployment of improved D&D technologies**
- **Provide lessons learned to sites on improved D&D technologies**

# DDFA Communication Plan Model

- Received plan from DDFA in November 2000
- Advice on how the DDFA communicates to the D&D community
- DDFA is committed to provide the right information to the right audiences in a timely manner to achieve programmatic goals
- Products include: monthly, quarterly, and annual reports, technology catalogue, exhibits, fact sheets, Forrestal wall display, ITSRs, technology cost and performance reports, web site

# DDFA LSDDP Selections

- June 2000, the DDFA release a request for letter proposal to all operations offices seeking proposals for 1 award in FY01 and 4 in FY02

- LSDDP Model A emphasized demonstration of improved D&D technologies at the DOE site hosting the LSDDP with deployment of multiple technologies at non-host DOE sites

- LSDDP Model B emphasized multi-site deployment of improved D&D technologies at the DOE site hosting the LSDDP and other non-host DOE sites

- 9 proposals were received in Sept. 2000

- Consensus review comments, ratings, and clarifications were completed in November 2000

- DDFA User Steering Committee was briefed on proposal evaluations and concurred January 12, 2000, with the recommendations of the Technical Evaluation Committee to select 2 projects to start in FY01

# DDFA LSDDP Proposals

| | NO. | PROPOSAL TITLE | LEAD SITE | MODEL | SCOR(0-10) | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| • | 1 | Rapid D&D Technology Deployment | INEEL | B | 6.90 | Select and combine w/2&3 |
| • | 2 | Fuel Storage Pools | INEEL/SRS | B | 6.70 | Select and combine w/1&3 |
| • | 3 | INEEL/FEMP Material Disposition | INEEL/FEMP | A | 6.10 | Select and combine 1 and 2 |
| • | 4 | ETTP GDP Equipment Disposition | Oak Ridge | A | 6.05 | Continue negotiations |
| • | 5 | MEMP Material Disposition | Mound | A | 5.80 | Decline |
| • | 6 | LANL Tritium | LANL | B | 5.40 | Select with minor changes |
| • | 7 | West Valley Hot Cells | West Valley | A | 5.20 | Continue negotiations |
| • | 8 | SRS/RL/RF Material Disposition | SRS/RL/RF | A | 4.35 | Decline |
| • | 9 | FEMP Deploy. of Underutilized Tech | Fernald | B | 4.30 | Decline |

# DDFA LSDDP Selections

- INEEL Model B/A
- LANL Model B

# New LSDDPs Under Negotiation

- Oak Ridge Material Disposition LSDDP (Model A)

- West Valley Hot Cell (Model A)

# LSDDP Proposals Not Selected

- Mound Material Disposition Model A
- SRS/RL/RFETS Material Disposition Model A
- Fernald Deployment of Underutilized Technologies Model B

# Thoughts, Views on Re-Engineering the DDFA Business Model

- Seek first to understand and then to be understood

- All organizations should continually assess their business model

- Is the LSDDP still the right business model

- Understand how the DDFA interacts with the Cross-Cut Programs

- How do we continue to motivate the Site PM's